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ABSTRACT

In the following thesis I will discuss arbitration, I will focus on who the court system in Egypt views as a party to the arbitration agreement, and will compare the Egyptian courts’ view of parties to the arbitration agreement and the view adopted by western legal systems.

The study will begin by viewing who might be considered a party upon the conclusion of the agreement, and will move on to view those who will become a party later on. The final stage is that of those who may be found to be a party to the arbitration agreement, after that I will conclude.
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